



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,578 | 01/23/2006 | Alain Pouchelon | PLAS-029 | 9250 |
| 32954 | 7590 | 10/09/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| JAMES C. LYDON | | | LOEWE, ROBERT S | |
| 100 DAINGERFIELD ROAD | | | | |
| SUITE 100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/522,578 | POUCHELON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ROBERT LOEWE | 1796 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT LOEWE. (3) ____.

(2) James Lydon. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims 25-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney submitted a proposed amendment to the Examiner via Fax. The proposed amendment consists of new claims. Applicant's attorney pointed out sections of the instant specification which supports the newly added limitations to the claims. The Examiner agreed that no new matter is introduced for the newly added claims. The Examiner will await filing of the supplemental amendment along with a replacement sheet for figure 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| | /Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 |
|--|--|